

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,539	10/11/2000	Jeff Schulz	FORE-81	5750
Ansel M Schwa	7590 02/05/2007 artz	EXAMINER		
One Sterling Plaza 201 N Craig Street Suite 304			NG, CHRISTINE Y	
Pittsburgh, PA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
. •			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/686,539	SCHULZ, JEFF
Examiner	Art Unit
Christine Ng	2616

	Christine Ng	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	lianas with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two month	aa af tha data af				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 224)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		1				
13. Other:	_	- MA	, —				
		1/2	<u> </u>				
	<	V					
	QI IDED\/i	HUY D. VU SORY PATENT FXAN	IINFR				

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Part of Paper No. 20070120

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Referring to the argument of Arslan et al (page 11, lines 1-20): Arslan et al disclose in Figure 1 a SONET switch (Element 100) coupled to line interface units 104, 114 and 124. Each line interface unit 104, 114 and 124 has ports that can handle different OC-N data rates. Each "interface unit in a SONET DCS contains one or more external system transmission interfaces that can handle different data rates". The "SONET DCS is used to multiplex and groom SONET payloads across the different SONET line rates". Refer to Column 1, lines 23-61. By allowing a switch to support different rates, the switch can be more flexible and accommodate more applications since different telecommunication systems require different bit rates. Figure 1 of Arslan et al and Figure 1 of Gorshe et al both disclose a device for processing SONET frames, and is therefore combinable.

Referring to the argment of Gorshe et al on the parity fabric (page 12, lines 1-14): The parity fabric (parity checks 30,32) is connected to the input ports 10,12 but is still a distinct and separate element. As shown in Figure 1, the parity check is separated from the data lunch portion of the inport port data latch by a dashed line. Furthermore, data enters the input port data latch and from there, parity check is performed on the data using the parity check circuit. Therefore, the parity check circuit is a different element from the input port data latch, since it performs its own distinct function. Refer to Column 7, lines 27-42.